# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2069-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 969

Subject: Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Political Subdivisions; Elections

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 19, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal changes provisions of the Missouri Law Enforcement

District Act.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
<b>Total Estimated</b>				
Net Effect on General Revenue				
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 2069-01 Bill No. HB 969 Page 2 of 4 April 19, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the County of St. Louis, State Tax Commission, Office of State Courts Administrator, Office of Secretary of State and the Department of Revenue assume that there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of Attorney General** assume that any potential costs arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

The following counties did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact: Boone, Buchanan, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Cass, Clay, Cole, Franklin, Greene, Jasper, Platte, Taney, Jackson, St. Charles and Jefferson.

**Oversight** assumes this proposal authorizes any county of the first classification to establish a law enforcement district and to impose, upon voter approval of at least four-sevenths of the registered voters, an annual property tax rate in an amount not to exceed 30 cents per \$100 assessed valuation. Oversight assumes if the voters were to approve a property tax there would be revenue generated as well as costs related to holding an election.

**Oversight** assumes all district property taxes collected by the county collector will be remitted to the treasurer of that law enforcement district. The district treasurer would deposit the funds into the district treasury to be used for law enforcement projects.

The tax must receive voter approval before it could be implemented or repealed; therefore, **Oversight** assumes this proposal is permissive and, by itself, would have no state or local fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>

L.R. No. 2069-01 Bill No. HB 969 Page 4 of 4 April 19, 2011

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses could be impacted as a result of this proposal.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

County of St. Louis
State Tax Commission
Office of State Courts Administrator
Office of Secretary of State
Department of Revenue
Office of Attorney General

## NOT RESPONDING

Counties of: Boone, Buchanan, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Cass, Clay, Cole, Franklin, Greene, Jasper, Platte, Taney, Jackson, St. Charles, Jefferson

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

April 19, 2011